1 2	Roland T. Christensen, Esq. (SBN 326638) Jason A. Itkin (Pro Hac Vice pending) Cory D. Itkin (Pro Hac Vice pending)		
3	Ryan S. Macleod (Pro Hac Vice pending) Jacob Karam (Pro Hac Vice pending) ARNOLD & ITKIN LLP		
4	6009 Memorial Drive Houston, Texas 77007		
5	Telephone: (713) 222-3800 Facsimile: (713) 222-3850		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff Ryan Sims		
7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA		
9	RYAN SIMS, an individual,) Case No.	
10	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	
11	-VS	1. JONES ACT;	
12	TRUTH AQUATICS, INC., a California Corporation, GLEN FRITZLER, is an	2. MAINTENANCE AND CURE; 3. UNSEAWORTHINESS;	
14	Individual and Trustee of THE FRITZLER FAMILY TRUST DTD 7/27/92, a California) 4. GENERAL MARITIME NEGLIGENCE	
15	Trust, WORLDWIDE DIVING ADVENTURES, LLC, a California Limited))	
16	Liability Company, and DOES 1 through 40))	
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
20	1. This action is within the jurisdiction of this Court because it is governed by		
21	the Jones Act, 46 U.S.C.A. § 30104, et seq. and the General Maritime Law. This Court has jurisdiction		
22	under the Savings to Suitors clause. (28 U.S.C. § 1333.) Further, it is well-settled that a Jones Act case		
23	is not removable. (Sellick v. Sun Harbor Marina, Inc. (9th Cir. 1967) 384 F.2d 870, 871.)		
24	2. Venue is proper because some or all	of the incidents which give rise to Plaintiff RYAN	
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1		

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

- 3. Plaintiff incorporates all foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
 - 4. Plaintiff is a California resident and citizen of the United States.
- 5. Defendant, TRUTH AQUATICS, INC., is and was a California corporation doing business in this County, and subject to jurisdiction and venue within this County. This Defendant was and is domiciled in Santa Barbara, California and it may be served though its registered agent. At all material times, Defendant TRUTH AQUATICS, INC. was the Jones Act employer of Plaintiff, RYAN SIMS. Defendant TRUTH AQUATICS, INC. may be served through its registered agent, Glen Fritzler, at 301 W Cabrillo Blvd, Santa Barbara, CA 93101or wherever he may be located.
- 6. Defendant GLEN FRITZLER, is an individual and Trustee of the FRITZLER FAMILY TRUST DTD 7/27/92, a California trust. Defendant THE FRITZLER FAMILY TRUST DTD 7/27/92 is a legal trust formulated under the laws of California, and subject to the jurisdiction of this Court. This Defendant may be served through personal service of its Trustee, wherever he may be found. Defendant THE FRITZLER FAMILY TRUST DTD 7/27/92 is the U.S. Coast Guard documented owner of the VESSEL. Defendants GLEN FRITZLER 301 W Cabrillo Blvd, Santa Barbara, CA 93101 or wherever he may be located. Defendant THE FRITZLER FAMILY TRUST DTD 7/27/92 may be served through its registered agent, Glen Fritzler, at 301 W Cabrillo Blvd, Santa Barbara, CA 93101, or wherever he may be located.
- 7. Defendant WORLDWIDE DIVING ADVENTURES, LLC is and was a California limited liability company doing business in this County, and subject to jurisdiction and venue within this County. This Defendant was and is domiciled in Santa Cruz, California, and it may be served though its registered agent. Upon information and belief, Defendant WORLDWIDE DIVING ADVENTURES, LLC was the charterer of the VESSEL. Defendant WORLDWIDE DIVING ADVENTURES, LLC may be served through its registered agent, Todd Abbott, located at 2127 Olympic Pkwy, Suite 1006-348, Chula Vista, CA 91915.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1 2

- 8. Plaintiff incorporates all foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 9. On or about September 2, 2019, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant, TRUTH AQUATICS, INC. (Hereinafter referred to as "TRUTH") as a crew member of the vessel *M/V CONCEPTION* (hereinafter referred to as "VESSEL"). While Plaintiff was aboard the VESSEL, which is owned, operated and/or managed by both Defendant TRUTH and Defendant THE FRITZLER FAMILY TRUST DTD 7/27/92 (hereinafter referred to as "TRUST"), and while Plaintiff was contributing to and aiding such VESSEL to accomplish its mission, Plaintiff was seriously injured. Moreover, upon information and belief, at all material times Defendant WORLDWIDE DIVING ADVENTURES, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "WORLDWIDE") was the charterer of the VESSEL.
- 10. In the early morning hours of September 2, 2019 Plaintiff was asleep on the top deck of the VESSEL, as was required in his job parameters. Suddenly and without warning, Plaintiff was abruptly started awake by loud noises, and quickly realized a significant fire had broken out in the VESSEL. The fire moved fast and swift throughout the VESSEL trapping Plaintiff and other persons aboard the VESSEL. Given the significance of the fire, and the layout of the VESSEL, Plaintiff was required to jump from the top deck of the VESSEL to avoid fire at which time he fractured his leg in three places, as well as injured his back, neck and other parts of his body. As a result of these injuries, Plaintiff has required extensive medical treatment.
- 11. At all times relevant, the VESSEL was and is a U.S. Coast Guard inspected passenger carrying vessel, documented under the flag of the United States with the U.S. Coast Guard official number 638133. At all times herein mentioned, the VESSEL was afloat upon navigable waters of the Pacific Ocean. At all times relevant, the VESSEL sailed on voyages to and from U.S. ports and was at least 97 gross tons as measured under 46 U.S.C. § 14502, § 14302, and § 14104. Further, at all times relevant, the VESSEL was anchored in Ventura County, California at the time of the fire.

26

27

28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION — JONES ACT

(against Defendant TRUTH)

1	2.	Plaintiff incorporates all foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth
herein.		

- 13. On or about September 2, 2019, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant, TRUTH, and was working aboard the VESSEL as a seaman under the general maritime law of the United States and the Jones Act, at the specific request and for the benefit of the VESSEL and Defendant.
 - 14. Defendant was negligent for the following reasons:
 - a. Failure to properly maintain the VESSEL;
 - b. Failure to properly train their employees;
 - c. Failure to provide adequate safety equipment;
 - d. Failure to provide adequate safety rules;
 - e. Failure to perform a job hazard analysis and to take corrective action;
 - f. Failure to provide adequate medical equipment;
 - g. Failure to provide adequate maintenance and cure;
 - h. Failure to provide adequate warnings of a known hazard;
 - i. Failure to comply with industry standards, customs and practices;
 - j. Operating the VESSEL with an obvious dangerous condition;
 - k. Failure to recognize danger and take corrective action;
 - 1. Failure to avoid or minimize foreseeable dangers to the crew resulting from potential fire;
 - m. Failure to provide adequate means of emergency evacuation;
 - n. Failure to adequately repair and/or maintain the VESSEL;
 - o. Failure to timely eliminate known hazards;
 - p. Failure to timely rectify known deficiencies;
 - q. Failure to inspect the VESSEL
 - r. Failure to comply with local, state, and/or federal law; and
 - s. Other acts deemed negligent.
- 15. As a legal result of the aforesaid negligent acts or wrongful acts or omissions, among others, Defendants breached the duty of care they owed to Plaintiff.

16.

and disfigurement. In all reasonable probability, Plaintiff's physical pain, mental anguish, physical impairment, and disfigurement will continue indefinitely.

17. As a further legal result of the aforesaid negligent acts or wrongful acts or omissions, Plaintiff has sustained and will continue to sustain damages, including without limitation, general non-

economic damages, special economic damages, life care expenses, emotional distress and pain and

suffering, all of which will be established at trial according to proof.

Plaintiff sustained severe injuries to his body, which resulted in physical pain, mental anguish, and other

medical problems. Plaintiff has sustained severe physical pain, mental anguish, physical impairment,

As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts and omissions of the Defendant

- 18. As a further direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts and omissions of the Defendant, Plaintiff has sustained and will in the future sustain loss of earnings, and loss of earning capacity, in an amount not presently ascertainable to Plaintiff, who will seek leave of court to amend this complaint to allege the amount of said losses when the same have been ascertained.
- 19. As a further, direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts and omissions of the Defendant, Plaintiff was compelled to and did employ the services of physicians, surgeons, nurses and the like, to handle and care for Plaintiff's treatment, and did incur medical, professional and incidental expenses. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based upon such information and belief alleges that he will necessarily and by reason of his injuries incur additional like expenses for an indefinite period of time in the future. Plaintiff will ask leave of court to amend this allegation once said amounts have been ascertained.
- 20. The foregoing wrongful acts or omissions occurred as a result of Defendant's willful and/or arbitrary and/or wanton and/or conscious and/or reckless disregard of their obligations under the Jones Act. As a result, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of punitive damages, including without limitation, general punitive damages and reasonable attorney's fees and costs against Defendant.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION — MAINTENANCE AND CURE

(against Defendant TRUTH)

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates all foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 22. Despite Plaintiff's severe and extensive injuries, he has not been paid adequate maintenance and cure. As a Jones Act seaman, Plaintiff is entitled to maintenance and cure benefits due to his injuries suffered on the job. Thus, Plaintiff seeks recoupment of past maintenance and cure benefits, and requests that the Court order that Defendant begin paying adequate maintenance and cure benefits from this day forward.
- 23. Moreover, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant's failure to pay maintenance and cure is arbitrary, capricious, willful, and wanton given the undeniable fact that Plaintiff was injured on the job and has not reached maximum medical improvement. Thus, Plaintiff seeks punitive damages. Further, Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees and costs. For the purposes of this claim herein, recoverable attorney's fees and costs include all reasonable attorney's fees and costs expended on this case that are not solely related to the Jones Act and Unseaworthiness claims herein alleged.
- 24. As a further legal result of the aforesaid negligence, Plaintiff is entitled to recover prejudgment interest on all damages awarded on this claim.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION — UNSEAWORTHINESS (against Defendant TRUTH & TRUST)

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates all foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
 - 26. On the date in question the VESSEL was unseaworthy.
- 27. At all times herein relevant, Plaintiff was acting in the service of the VESSEL and Defendants and was performing duties of the type traditionally performed by a seaman.

- 28. At the time and place alleged herein, by the provisions of the General Maritime Law of the United States, Defendants and their agents, employees and servants warranted to Plaintiff that the VESSEL, its decks, gear, equipment, guardrails, handrails, galley, appurtenances, tools, safety equipment, crewmembers, training, instruction, safety policies, safety procedures, safety management system and work methods were seaworthy and in compliance with applicable statutes and regulations enacted for the safety of the crew.
- 29. Defendants, and each of them, breached this warranty in that the VESSEL, its decks, gear, equipment, guardrails, handrails, galley, appurtenances, tools, safety equipment, crewmembers, training, instruction, safety policies, safety procedures, safety management system and work methods were neither seaworthy nor in compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, industry customs and practices enacted or followed for the safety of the crew. Further, the acts of negligence set forth in this Claim for Relief were of such a duration as to become conditions of the VESSEL and therefore were further breaches of the warranty of seaworthiness. Upon information and belief, Defendants had actual and subjective awareness of the issues with the VESSEL and failed to rectify them.
- 30. As a further legal result of the aforesaid unseaworthiness, Plaintiff has sustained and will continue to sustain damages, including without limitation, general non-economic damages, special economic damages, life care expenses, emotional distress and paid and suffering, all of which will be established at trial according to proof.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of the VESSEL's unseaworthiness, Plaintiff sustained severe injuries to his body, which resulted in physical pain, mental anguish, and other medical problems. Plaintiff has sustained severe physical pain, mental anguish, physical impairment, and disfigurement. In all reasonable probability, Plaintiff's physical pain, mental anguish, physical impairment, and disfigurement will continue indefinitely.
- 32. As a further direct and proximate result of the VESSEL's unseaworthiness, Plaintiff has sustained and will in the future sustain loss of earnings, and loss of earning capacity, in an amount not presently ascertainable to Plaintiff, who will seek leave of court to amend this complaint to allege the amount of said losses when the same have been ascertained.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

- p. Other acts deemed negligent.
- 37. As a legal result of the aforesaid negligent acts or wrongful acts or omissions, among others, Defendants breached the duty of care they owed to Plaintiff.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts and omissions of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff sustained severe injuries to his body, which resulted in physical pain, mental anguish, and other medical problems. Plaintiff has sustained severe physical pain, mental anguish, physical impairment, and disfigurement. In all reasonable probability, Plaintiff's physical pain, mental anguish, physical impairment, and disfigurement will continue indefinitely.
- 39. As a further legal result of the aforesaid negligent acts or wrongful acts or omissions, Plaintiff has sustained and will continue to sustain damages, including without limitation, general non-economic damages, special economic damages, life care expenses, emotional distress and pain and suffering, all of which will be established at trial according to proof.
- 40. As a further direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts and omissions of the Defendants, plaintiff has sustained and will in the future sustain loss of earnings, and loss of earning capacity, in an amount not presently ascertainable to Plaintiff, who will seek leave of court to amend this complaint to allege the amount of said losses when the same have been ascertained.
- 41. As a further, direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts and omissions of the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was compelled to and did employ the services of physicians, surgeons, nurses and the like, to handle and care for Plaintiff's treatment, and did incur medical, professional and incidental expenses. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based upon such information and belief alleges that he will necessarily and by reason of his injuries incur additional like expenses for an indefinite period of time in the future. Plaintiff will ask leave of court to amend this allegation once said amounts have been ascertained.
- 42. The foregoing wrongful acts or omissions occurred as a result of Defendants' willful and/or arbitrary and/or wanton and/or conscious and/or reckless disregard of their obligations under the General Maritime Law. As a result, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of punitive damages, including

1	without limitation, general punitive damages and reasonable attorney's fees and costs against		
2	Defendants.		
3	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
4	TRATER FOR REDIEF		
5	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for damages against Defendants, both jointly and severely, and		
6	each of them, as follows:		
7	1. Actual damages;		
8	2. Exemplary damages as allowed by law;		
	3. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law;		
9	4. Costs of suit;		
10	5. All claims for relief;		
11	6. Attorney's fees; and7. All other relief, in law and equity, to which Plaintiff may be entitled.		
12			
13	Dated: September 12, 2019		
14	Respectfully submitted,		
15	ARNOLD & ITKIN LLP		
16	By Podand Christense		
17	By John Mistersh		
18	Roland T. Christensen, Esq. Jason A. Itkin (Pro Hac Vice pending)		
19	Cory D. Itkin (Pro Hac Vice pending) Ryan S. Macleod (Pro Hac Vice pending)		
20	Jacob Karam (Pro Hac Vice pending)		
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			